Topological phases of parafermions: a model with exactly-solvable ground states

Fernando Iemini,[1,2] Christophe Mora,[3] and Leonardo Mazza[4]

[1] *ICTP, Strada Costiera 11, I-34151 Trieste, Italy*
[2] *NEST, Scuola Normale Superiore and Istituto Nanoscienze-CNR, I-56126 Pisa, Italy*
[3] *Laboratoire Pierre Aigrain, École Normale Supérieure / PSL Research University, CNRS, Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Sorbonne Universités, Université Paris Diderot-Sorbonne Paris Cité, 24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France*
[4] *Département de Physique, École Normale Supérieure / PSL Research University, CNRS, 24 rue Lhomond, F-75005 Paris, France*

arXiv:1611.00832v1 [quant-ph] 2 Nov 2016

Parafermions are the simplest generalization of Majorana fermions: they show non-Abelian fractional statistics and can realize topological order. In this paper we present a non-trivial and quasi-exactly-solvable model for a chain of parafermions in a symmetry-protected topological phase. We compute and characterize the ground-state wavefunctions, which are matrix-product states and have a particularly elegant interpretation in terms of Fock parafermions, reflecting the factorized nature of the ground states. Using these wavefunctions, we demonstrate analytically several signatures of topological order. Our study provides a starting point for the non-approximate study of topological one-dimensional parafermionic chains in the absence of strong edge modes.

Introduction. The study of topological order (TO) is currently one of the most active research fields in condensed-matter physics. From the AKLT model [1] to the Laughlin wavefunction [2], from the Kitaev chain [3] to the Toric code [4], this study has always benefited from the development of exactly-solvable models and of paradigmatic wavefunctions, whose detailed analysis permits the formation of a clear physical intuition, to be used in the understanding of complex experimental setups.

In this letter we focus on *parafermions*, a generalization of Majorana fermions with also non-Abelian statistics [5]. After the experimental clarification that two zero-energy Majorana fermions can be localized at the edges of a one-dimensional fermionic wire [6, 7], the possibility of localizing parafermionic modes, and letting them interact, is currently under deep investigation. These excitations cannot appear in strictly one-dimensional fermionic systems [8, 9], but may emerge at the edge of a two-dimensional fractional topological insulator coupled to alternating ferromagnetic and superconducting materials [5, 10–13]. In these setups, one-dimensional chains of interacting parafermions arise, which, in certain circumstances, display symmetry-protected TO and edge $\mathbb{Z}_N$ parafermionic modes [5, 17–26].

Edge modes are called strong when they commute with the Hamiltonian [14] and thereby generate a N-fold degeneracy in the entire spectrum, and weak when the commutation property and associated degeneracy are restricted to the ground state manifold. TO survives all weak symmetry-preserving perturbations and host indistinguishably weak or strong modes [15]. The importance of parafermionic zero-modes for topological quantum computation [16] motivates further investigations of these fractionalized systems.

In this letter we provide a non-trivial family of parafermionic models for which the properties of the ground states can be exactly characterized. These models are gapped, display TO and weak instead of strong edge modes. We analytically demonstrate several signatures of TO, (i) the presence of non-local edge-edge correlations, (ii) the indistinguishability of ground states by a symmetry-preserving local observable (iii) the fact that only operators living at the edges are able to permute ground states, (iv) the N-fold degeneracy of the entanglement spectrum [18]. We also motivate the existence of weak edge modes. The analysis rests on an intuitive "particle-like" picture of parafermions [27, 28], that naturally leads to a formulation in terms of matrix-product states (MPS) of the ground states [29]. For simplicty, we present our discussion in the case of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermions, but the construction can be easily generalized to $\mathbb{Z}_N$ parafermions. A similar study has been discussed in the fermionic ($\mathbb{Z}_2$) case [30].

The model. We consider a one-dimensional chain with length L of $\mathbb{Z}_3$ parafermions. Each lattice site k is associated with two parafermionic operators, $\hat{\gamma}_{2k-1}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{2k}$, which satisfy the following properties: $\hat{\gamma}_j^3 = 1$, $\hat{\gamma}_j^\dagger = \hat{\gamma}_j^2$; moreover, $\hat{\gamma}_j\hat{\gamma}_l = \omega\,\hat{\gamma}_l\hat{\gamma}_j$ for $j < l$, where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$. We consider the following model: $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + b\,\hat{H}_1 + b^2\,\hat{H}_2$:

$$\hat{H}_0 = \sum_j \left[-f\,\omega^*\,\hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger\hat{\gamma}_{2j} - J\omega\,\hat{\gamma}_{2j}\,\hat{\gamma}_{2j+1}^\dagger + \text{H.c.} \right]; \quad \text{(1a)}$$

$$\hat{H}_1 = -J\sum_j \left[\hat{A}_j\hat{\gamma}_{2j+1}^\dagger + \hat{\gamma}_{2j}\hat{B}_{j+1}^\dagger + \text{H.c.} \right]; \quad \text{(1b)}$$

$$\hat{H}_2 = -J\sum_j \left[\omega^*\,\hat{A}_j\hat{B}_{j+1}^\dagger + \text{H.c.} \right]; \quad \text{(1c)}$$

and $\hat{A}_j = (\hat{\gamma}_{2j-1} + \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger)$, $\hat{B}_j = (\hat{\gamma}_{2j} + \hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger\hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger)$.

For $b = 0$, $\hat{H}$ reduces to the well-known parafermionic version of the three-state Potts quantum chain [31–36]. For positive f and J, such model has a topological phase transition at $f = J$ between a topological phase with zero boundary-modes ($f < J$) and a trivial phase ($f > J$).

For $f = 0$, the Hamiltonian is the sum of commuting and frustration-free terms, and displays TO.

Quasi-exactly-solvable line The Hamiltonian (1) has a quasi-exactly-solvable line parametrized by $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{f}{J} = -6\frac{1-e^{-2\phi}}{(1+2e^{-\phi})^2}; \qquad b = \frac{1-e^{-\phi}}{1+2e^{-\phi}}; \tag{2}$$

which is plotted in Fig. (1). We consider open boundary conditions; the properties of the ground states are exactly computable once the boundary term is introduced:

$$\hat{H}_{\mathrm{B}} = +\frac{f}{2}\left[\omega^* \hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_2 + \omega^* \hat{\gamma}_{2L-1}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2L} + \mathrm{H.c.}\right]. \tag{3}$$

This term does not change the thermodynamics of the model and produces modifications which scale as L^{-1}, which are negligible in the thermodynamic limit.

We begin by considering the point $\phi = 0$. Here, the Hamiltonian can be rewritten in the following expressive form: $\hat{H} + \hat{H}_{\mathrm{B}} = -2J(L-1)\hat{\mathbb{I}} + J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \hat{\ell}_j^\dagger \hat{\ell}_j$, where $\hat{\ell}_j = \hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger - \omega\, \hat{\gamma}_{2j+1}^\dagger$. The first term, inessential, is proportional to the identity. The second part, instead, is non-negative, and its three ground states $|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle$ $(i = 0, 1, 2)$ are characterized by $\hat{\ell}_j |g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = 0$.

In order to visualize this result in solely parafermionic terms, we employ the "Fock parafermions" $\{\hat{C}_j\}_{j=1}^L$: $\hat{\gamma}_{2j-1} = \omega\left(\hat{C}_j + \hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2}\right)$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{2j} = \hat{C}_j \omega^{\hat{N}_j} + \hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2}$, where $\hat{N}_j = \hat{C}_j^\dagger \hat{C}_j + \hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2}\hat{C}_j^2$ is the number operator [27]. The Fock-parafermion operators are a generalization of canonical Fermi operators and satisfy, among the others, the following commutation relations: $\hat{C}_j^3 = 0$, $\hat{C}_j \hat{C}_k = \omega\, \hat{C}_k \hat{C}_j$ $(j < k)$. They are associated with a local Fock space where a number of Fock-parafermions between 0 and 2 can be accommodated, and are amenable to a simple picture of particle-like excitations. The Hilbert space of the whole chain is spanned by all Fock states $|\{n_j\}\rangle$ where $n_j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ is the number of parafermions at site j.

The three ground states read:

$$|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3^{L-1}}} \sum_{\substack{\{n_j\} \text{ such that} \\ \sum_j n_j \equiv i \ (\mathrm{mod}3)}} |\{n_j\}\rangle, \quad i = 0, 1, 2. \tag{4}$$

They are the equal-amplitude superposition of all Fock states with a number N of Fock parafermions such that $N \equiv i$ (mod3). These states are similar to the Rokhsar-Kivelson states proposed in resonant valence-bond liquids [37]. Such states are in fact ubiquitous in the study of topological phases of matter and they can also be encountered in the two- and three-dimensional toric code [4, 38], in the AKLT model [1] or in the study of topological Majorana fermions [3, 30, 39, 40]. The proof of Eq. (4) is obtained expanding $\hat{\ell}_j = \omega^{-\hat{N}_j}\hat{C}_j^\dagger - \hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger + \hat{C}_j^2 - \hat{C}_{j+1}^2$, and explicitly inspecting that $\hat{\ell}_j |g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = 0$. Excited states are obtained by applying the operators



FIG. 1. Phase space of the model (1). The ground state is exactly solvable along the red line, which is parametrized ϕ according to Eq. (2). The points $\phi = \pm\infty$ and $\phi = 0$ are highlighted. For better reference, the well-studied critical point $f = J$, $b = 0$ with central charge $c = 4/5$ is also highlighted. Inset: Perturbative analysis of the size-scaling of the degeneracies Δ_m of the first three excited states ($m = 1$, black circles) and of a higher excited triplet ($m = 4$, red squares), exhibiting respectively exponential and polynomial energy splitting. The polynomial scaling demonstrates the absence of strong edge modes. Three values of ϕ are considered: $\phi = 10^{-4}$ (solid line), $\phi = 10^{-3}$ (dashed line), and $\phi = 10^{-2}$ (dashed-dotted line).

$\hat{\ell}_j^\dagger$ to the states $|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle$ and normalizing, which demonstrates the presence of a gap $3J$ [41].

We now move to $\phi \neq 0$. We claim that the ground states are given by

$$|g_{i,\phi}\rangle = \frac{\hat{Z}_{-\phi}|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle g_{i,\phi=0}|\hat{Z}_{-2\phi}|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle}}, \tag{5}$$

where $\hat{Z}_\phi = e^{\phi\hat{N}/3}$ is a Hermitian, invertible, but non-unitary operator, $\hat{N} = \sum_j \hat{N}_j$ being the total number of parafermions in the chain. We prove our claim by constructing a parent Hamiltonian for the states $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ and then showing that it coincides with $\hat{H} + \hat{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$, as given by Eqs. (1) and (3), apart from constant terms. We introduce a set of local operators $\hat{L}_{j,\phi} = \hat{Z}_{-\phi}\hat{\ell}_j\hat{Z}_\phi$; one easily verifies that acting with the parent Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_\phi = J\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \hat{L}_{j,\phi}^\dagger \hat{L}_{j,\phi} \tag{6}$$

on the states $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ gives zero. The model is not fully solvable and the different terms in Eq. (6) do not commute, except for $\phi = 0$. Nevertheless $\hat{H}_\phi$ is a strictly non-negative operator which completes the proof that $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ are ground states. More explicitely, the operators $\hat{L}_{j,\phi}$



FIG. 2. Top left, correlation function $G_0(x)$, and Top right, $|\langle n\rangle_0 - \langle n\rangle_2|$ for several values of ϕ. Violet lines represent the exponential scalings extracted from the analytical formulas. Bottom left: correlation length ξ. Bottom right: we show one typical example of the $\mathbb{Z}_3$-breaking observable $F_{i,i'}(x)$ for $i = 0$ and $i' = 2$.

take the form $\hat{L}_{j,\phi} = \frac{e^{2\phi/3}}{3}\left[\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{j,\phi}\hat{\gamma}^\dagger_{2j} - \omega\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{j+1,\phi}\hat{\gamma}^\dagger_{2j+1}\right]$, with

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}}_{j,\phi} = (1+2e^{-\phi}) + (1-e^{-\phi})\left[\omega\,\hat{\gamma}^\dagger_{2j-1}\hat{\gamma}_{2j} + \text{H.c.}\right],$$

such that $\hat{H}_\phi$ coincides with the starting Hamiltonian (1) and the parametrization (2).

Ground-state properties We now turn to the analytical characterization of the $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$. In the Fock-parafermion representation, the ground states take a particularly simple form:

$$|g_{i,\phi}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i}}} \sum_{\substack{\{n_j\}\text{ such that}\\ \sum_j n_j \equiv i \,(\text{mod}3)}} e^{-\phi(\sum_j n_j)/3}|\{n_j\}\rangle, \quad (7)$$

where the normalization constants $\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i}$ have an analytical expression. Comparing with the states in Eq. (4), the coefficients of the different Fock states now depend exponentially on the number of parafermions: the perturbation is effectively acting as a chemical potential which modifies the average number of particles.

Below, we take advantage of the relative simplicity of the ground states expressions (7) to compute analytically and exactly various correlation functions. We begin by determining the correlation length of the states $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ through a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-preserving correlation function, for instance $G_i(j,l) = \langle g_{i,\phi}|\hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2}\hat{C}_l^2|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$. The peculiar nature of the ground states makes it translationally invariant even for open boundary conditions, $G_i(j,l) = G_i(j-l)$. As displayed in Fig. 2, it exhibits an exponential decay $\sim \exp(-|j-l|/\xi)$ for $|j-l| < L/2$. ξ is the correlation length:

$$\xi^{-1} = \ln\left|\frac{1+e^{-2\phi/3}+e^{-4\phi/3}}{1+\omega e^{-2\phi/3}+\omega^* e^{-4\phi/3}}\right|; \quad (8)$$

and it is plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of ϕ. It is zero at $\phi = 0$, corresponding to a renormalization group fixed point, and diverges in the limits $\phi \to \pm\infty$. Thus, no phase transition occurs along the solvable line, apart from the extremal values.

It is instructive to show that the correlation length can also be computed in ways that are directly related to the topological nature of the ground states. We consider the expectation value of a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-preserving local operator, such as $\langle n\rangle_i = \langle g_{i,\phi}|\hat{N}_j|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ (for the states $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ there is no dependence on j). In the thermodynamic limit, we analytically find:

$$\langle n\rangle_i \to n(\phi) = \frac{e^{-2\phi/3}+2e^{-4\phi/3}}{1+e^{-2\phi/3}+e^{-4\phi/3}}, \quad (9)$$

independent of i and j. At finite size L, we further obtain exponentially close values $\langle g_{i,\phi}|\hat{N}_j|g_{i,\phi}\rangle = n(\phi)+c_i e^{-L/\xi}$, as expected for TO [42], with the correlation length ξ of Eq. (8).

Getting back to the correlation function $G_i(j-l)$ in Fig. 2, we observe that the model displays non-local edge-edge correlations which survive in the thermodynamic limit. The importance of the edges is also revealed by $\mathbb{Z}_3$-breaking observables: in Fig. 2, we analytically compute and plot $F_{0,2}(j) = |\langle g_{0,\phi}|\hat{C}_j^\dagger|g_{2,\phi}\rangle|$, measuring how $\hat{C}_j^\dagger$ maps groundstates with subsequent $\mathbb{Z}_3$ parities. The calculation reveals that it is non-zero only for j close to the boundaries with exponential decays again characterized by ξ. With this, we have so far encountered the first three signatures of symmetry-protected TO and fractionalized boundary modes mentioned in the introduction, points (i-iii).

In order to confirm these findings, we consider the entanglement spectrum of the $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ states and prove its threefold degeneracy, see point (iv). In a bipartition of the system into a left part of length ℓ and a right part of length $L-\ell$, the ground state assumes the form

$$|g_{i,\phi}\rangle = \sum_{p=0}^{2}\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{N}_{\ell,\phi,p}\,\mathcal{N}_{L-\ell,\phi,(i-p)\text{mod}3}}{\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i}}}|g^{(\ell)}_{\phi,p}\rangle|g^{(L-\ell)}_{\phi,(i-p)\text{mod}3}\rangle. \quad (10)$$

The reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}_\ell$ is obtained by tracing out all sites of the right part. For $\ell \gg \xi$, the normalization constant $\mathcal{N}_{\ell,\phi,i}$ scales like $\sim (1/3)(1+e^{-2\phi/3}+e^{-4\phi/3})^\ell + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\ell/\xi})$, and the dependence on i only appears in the correction. Thus, for $\ell \gg \xi$ and $L-\ell \gg \xi$, the entanglement spectrum of the system is threefold degenerate, because for every $p = 0,1,2$ in Eq. (10) the coefficient of the sum reduces to $\sqrt{1/3}$, and the three states $|g^{(\ell)}_{\phi,p}\rangle$ equally participate to the reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}_\ell$. In



FIG. 3. Top left: entanglement spectrum as a function of ℓ for $\phi = 2$. Top right: von Neumann entropy $S(\hat{\rho}_\ell)$ as a function of ℓ for three values of ϕ. At large ℓ, it saturates to a finite value corresponding to an area law. Bottom: DMRG calculation of the gap of the model obtained with length $L = 168$; the maximal number of retained states is $m = 250$.

Fig. 3 we plot the typical behavior of the entanglement spectrum as a function of ℓ, the position of bipartition: close to the boundary ($\ell \ll \xi$) it consists of three different values, away from it they all collapse to 1/3. This expression also clarifies the gapped nature of the system through the area-law scaling of its von Neumann entropy $S(\hat{\rho}_\ell) = -\mathrm{tr}[\hat{\rho}_\ell \ln \hat{\rho}_\ell]$, plotted in Fig. 3. Explicit numerical calculations of the gap, obtained with the density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [43], reported in Fig. 3, confirm this fact.

Nature of the ground states. This extended analytical analysis originates from the fact that the ground states are MPS. They can be expressed as $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle = \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_L} v_L^T A^{[n_1]} \ldots A^{[n_L]} v_{R,i} |n_1, \ldots n_L\rangle$ with the three matrices $A^{[j=0,1,2]} = (e^{-\frac{\phi}{3}}\hat{\sigma})^j$, where

$$\hat{\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (11)$$

The parity i of the ground state is encoded in the left and right vectors, with $v_L^T = (1, \omega^i, \omega^{2i})$ and $v_R^T = (1, 1, 1)$ [29].

A particularly clear interpretation of the data which we have so far displayed comes from the observation that the ground states $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ are linear superpositions of three product states, as we are going to show. For $\phi = 0$, it can be explicitly verified that:

$$|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\bigotimes_j |\tilde{0}_j\rangle + \omega^i \bigotimes_j |\tilde{1}_j\rangle + \omega^{2i} \bigotimes_j |\tilde{2}_j\rangle \right);$$

where $|\tilde{i}_j\rangle = (|n_j = 0\rangle + \omega^{\tilde{i}} |n_j = 1\rangle + \omega^{2\tilde{i}} |n_j = 2\rangle)/\sqrt{3}$. The operator $\hat{Z}_\phi$ acts as a product operator over the different sites, without creating entanglement or correlations. We thus observe that, applying $\hat{Z}_{-\phi}$ to the states $|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle$ according to the prescription in Eq. (5), the states $\hat{Z}_{-\phi} \bigotimes_j |\tilde{i}_j\rangle$ retain a product nature. These states have zero correlation length and thus are fixed points of the renormalization group. This result can be considered an extension to 3-state clock models of known results for spin-1/2 systems about the existence of factorized ground states [45, 46] and it is intriguing to speculate that the peculiar properties of these models might extend to parafermionic chains [47].

Edge modes. The parafermionic chain at $\phi = 0$ is characterized by (strong) edge modes, simply given by the operators $\hat{\chi}_1 = \hat{\gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\chi}_2 = \hat{\gamma}_{2L}$, permuting cyclically the ground states. As ϕ departs from zero, the edge modes $\hat{\chi}_{1,2}$ are continuously deformed but remain local. The calculation of $F_{i,i'}(j)$, see Fig. 2, already demonstrates that they keep a significant overlap with operators located close to the two ends of the chain. More generally, $\hat{\chi}_{1,2} = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi \hat{\gamma}_{1,2L} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi^\dagger$ are obtained from exact quasi-adiabatic continuation [15] of $\hat{\gamma}_{1,2L}$ with the unitary transformation $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi$ mapping the ground states manifolds at zero and non-zero ϕ. Being unitary, $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi$ preserves the parafermionic non-commutative algebra of $\hat{\chi}_{1,2}$ in the ground state, with $\langle g_{i,\phi}|\hat{\chi}_1|g_{i+1,\phi}\rangle = 1$ and $\langle g_{i,\phi}|\hat{\chi}_2|g_{i+1,\phi}\rangle = \omega^{2+i}$. It also preserves locality under the condition of quasi-adiabaticity [15]. This program can be applied explicitely in perturbation with $\phi \ll 1$, and yields the left edge mode

$$\hat{\chi}_1 = \hat{\gamma}_1 + \alpha(\omega\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^\dagger\gamma_3^\dagger) + \alpha^*(\omega\gamma_1^\dagger\gamma_3\gamma_2 - \gamma_1^\dagger\gamma_3^\dagger) \qquad (12)$$

to leading order in $\alpha = f/J - \omega b$. Parafermionic operators $\hat{\gamma}_j$ are also expected to enter the expression of $\hat{\chi}_1$ to order $j/2$ in f/J, b, so they are exponentially suppressed with the site index j. Similar considerations apply to the right mode $\hat{\chi}_2$. Finally, the form of $F_{i,i'}(j)$ strongly suggests that the edge states $\hat{\chi}_{1/2}$ decay with the correlation length ξ at both ends of the chain.

The operators $\hat{\gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{2L}$ are strong edge modes for $\phi = 0$ as they commute with the Hamiltonian. It can be checked from the perturbative expression (12) that the commutation is lost at non-zero ϕ, yielding weak edge modes. Following Ref. [20], the low-energy part of the spectrum can be addressed at small ϕ by projecting the full Hamiltonian (1) onto single domain wall excitations, thus reducing the numerical complexity. In Fig. 1 we show the results of this analysis, where we plot $\Delta_m = \sqrt{\sum_{q \neq q'} (e_{m,q} - e_{m,q'})^2}$, and $e_{m,q}$ is the m-th excited state which has a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-parity with value q. We find that the lowest triplets in the excitation spectrum ($m = 1$) exhibit an exponential closing with the system size, in contrast with higher triplet excitations ($m = 4$) where the closing is polynomial. This last observation

rules out the presence of strong edge modes.

Conclusions. In this article we have presented a model for a one-dimensional parafermionic chain which displays symmetry-protected TO and has quasi-exactly-solvable ground states. It beautifully exemplifies the physics proposed in Ref. [15], and allows for the explicit characterization of TO in the absence of strong boundary modes, providing an interesting starting point for developing a physical intuition of parafermionic systems, which is becoming particularly compelling in view of a forthcoming experimental realization. This is achieved with a systematic interpretation of our results in terms of "Fock parafermions", a possibility which has not been fully explored yet. Understanding whether there are other parent Hamiltonians for the states $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ which are more physically relevant is an interesting perspective; we also leave for the future further investigations concerning higher-dimensional lattices [17], as well as the complete mapping of the phase diagram of Hamiltonian (1).

Acknowledgements. We thank R. Fazio for encouraging us to perform this study. We also thank C. E. Bardyn, M. Burrello, M. Dalmonte, A. De Luca, M. Fagotti, G. Ortiz, N. Regnault, D. Rossini, R. Santachiara for interesting discussions and comments. The numerical part of this work has been performed using the DMRG code released within the "Powder with Power" project (http://qti.sns.it/dmrg/home.html). F. I. acknowledges financial support by the Brazilian agencies FAPEMIG, CNPq, and INCT-IQ. L. M. was supported by LabEX ENS-ICFP: ANR-10-LABX-0010/ANR-10- IDEX-0001-02 PSL*

[1] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb and H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 799 (1987).
[2] R. B. Laughlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1395 (1983).
[3] A. Y. Kitaev, Phys. Usp. **44**, 131 (2001).
[4] A. Y. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003).
[5] J. Alicea and P. Fendley, Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics **7**, 119 (2016).
[6] V. Mourik, K. Zuo, S. M. Frolov, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science **336**, 1003 (2012).
[7] S. M. Albrecht, A. P. Higginbotham, M. Madsen, F. Kuemmeth, T. S. Jespersen, J. Nygård, P. Krogstrup, C. M. Marcus, Nature **531**, 206 (2016).
[8] A. M. Turner, F. Pollmann, and E. Berg, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 075102 (2011).
[9] L. Fidkowski and A. Y. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 075103 (2011).
[10] N. H. Lindner, E. Berg, G. Refael and A. Stern, Phys. Rev. X **2**, 041002 (2012).
[11] M. Cheng, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 195126 (2012).
[12] D. J. Clarke, J. Alicea and K. Shtengel, Nat. Commun. **4**, 1248 (2013).
[13] A. Vaezi, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 035132 (2013).
[14] P. Fendley, J. Stat. Mech. P11020 (2012).
[15] A. Alexandradinata, N. Regnault, C. Fang, M. J. Gilbert, B. A. Bernevig, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 125103 (2016).
[16] A. Hutter and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B 93, 125105 (2016).
[17] M. Burrello, B. van Heck, E. Cobanera, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 195422 (2013).
[18] J. Motruk, E. Berg, A. M. Turner, and F. Pollmann, Phys. Rev. B **88**, 085115 (2013).
[19] R. Bondesan and T. Quella, J. Stat. Mech. P10024 (2013).
[20] A. S. Jermyn, R. S. K. Mong, J. Alicea, P. Fendley, Phys. Rev. B 90, 165106 (2014).
[21] A. Milsted, E. Cobanera, M. Burrello, G. Ortiz, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 195101 (2014).
[22] E. M. Stoudenmire, D. J. Clarke, R. S. K. Mong, and J. Alicea, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 235112 (2015).
[23] Y. Zhuang, H. J. Changlani, N. M. Tubman, T. L. Hughes, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 035154 (2015).
[24] G. J. Sreejith, A. Lazarides, R. Moessner, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 045127 (2016).
[25] E. Cobanera, J. Ulrich, F. Hassler, *Changing anyonic ground degeneracy with engineered gauge fields*, arXiv:1506.07879 (2015).
[26] Paul Fendley, J. Phys. A **47**, 075001 (2014).
[27] E. Cobanera and G. Ortiz, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 012328 (2014).
[28] E. Cobanera, *Quasiparticle excitations of fractionalizing media with non-Abelian symmetries*, arXiv:1410.5824 (2014).
[29] D. Perez-Garcia, F. Verstraete, M.M. Wolf, J.I. Cirac, Quantum Inf. Comput. **7**, 401 (2007).
[30] H. Katsura, D. Schuricht, and M. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 115137 (2015).
[31] S. Ostlund, Phys. Rev. B **24**, 398 (1981).
[32] D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **24**, 5180 (1981).
[33] V. A. Fateev, A. B. Zamolodchikov, Phys. Lett. A **92**, 37 (1982).
[34] F. C. Alcaraz, J. Phys. A **20**, L623 (1987).
[35] G. Ortiz, E. Cobanera, Z. Nussinov, Nuc. Phys. B **854**, 780 (2012).
[36] R. S. K. Mong, D. J. Clarke, J. Alicea, N. H. Lindner, and P. Fendley, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **47**, 452001 (2014).
[37] D. S. Rokhsar and S.A. Kivelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2376 (1988).
[38] C.-H. Lin, M. Levin, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 035115 (2015)
[39] N. Lang and H. P. Büchler, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 041118 (2015).
[40] F. Iemini, L. Mazza, D. Rossini, R. Fazio, and S. Diehl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 156402 (2015).
[41] We provide in the Supplemental Material details on the definition of Fock parafermions, on the diagonalization of the model at $\phi = 0$ and on the analytical computation of observables including the perturbative form of the weak edge modes.
[42] Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, Ann. Phys. **324**, 977 (2009).
[43] U. Schollwöck, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 259 (2005).
[44] E. Fradkin and L. P. Kadanoff, Nucl. Phys. B **170**, 1 (1980).
[45] J. Kurmann, H. Thomas, and G. Müller, Physica A (Amsterdam) **112**, 235 (1982).
[46] G. Müller and R. E. Shrock, Phys. Rev. B **32**, 5845 (1985).
[47] S. M. Giampaolo, G. Adesso, and F. Illuminati, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 207202 (2010).

Supplemental Material for: Topological phases of parafermions: a model with exactly-solvable ground states

Fernando Iemini, Christophe Mora and Leonardo Mazza

In this Supplemental Material we provide additional information which have been omitted from the main text.

FOCK PARAFERMIONS

Since in the text we use a slightly different convention from that introduced in Ref. [1], we recap here the main formulas characterizing the relation between usual parafermions and Fock parafermions.

The easist way to express the relation between usual and Fock parafermions is the following:

$$\hat{\gamma}_{2j-1} = \omega\left(\hat{C}_j + \hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2}\right); \qquad \hat{\gamma}_{2j} = \hat{C}_j \omega^{\hat{N}_j} + \hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2}; \qquad \hat{N}_j = \hat{C}_j^\dagger \hat{C}_j + \hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2}\hat{C}_j^2. \tag{S1}$$

These equations can be inverted, yielding:

$$\hat{C}_j = \frac{2}{3}\omega^* \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1} - \frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_{2j} - \frac{1}{3}\omega^* \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger; \qquad \hat{C}_j^\dagger = \frac{2}{3}\omega\, \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger - \frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger - \frac{1}{3}\omega\, \hat{\gamma}_{2j}\hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}; \tag{S2a}$$

$$\hat{C}_j^2 = \frac{1}{3}\omega\, \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger + \frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger + \frac{1}{3}\omega\, \hat{\gamma}_{2j}\hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}; \qquad \hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2} = \frac{1}{3}\omega^* \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1} + \frac{1}{3}\hat{\gamma}_{2j} + \frac{1}{3}\omega^* \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger. \tag{S2b}$$

From this follows that:

$$\hat{C}_j^\dagger \hat{C}_j = \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3}\omega\, \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j} - \frac{1}{3}\omega^* \hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}; \qquad \hat{C}_j^{\dagger 2}\hat{C}_j^2 = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\omega^* \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j} + \frac{1}{3}\omega\, \hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}; \tag{S3}$$

and that:

$$\hat{N}_j = 1 + \frac{1}{3}(\omega^* - \omega)\, \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j} - \frac{1}{3}(\omega - \omega^*)\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1} = 1 - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_{2j-1}. \tag{S4}$$

EXACTLY-SOLVABLE POINT FOR $\phi = 0$

We demonstrate that $\hat{\ell}_j |g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = 0$. We recall the explicit expression for $\hat{\ell}_j = \omega^{-\hat{N}_j}\hat{C}_j^\dagger - \hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger + \hat{C}_j^2 - \hat{C}_{j+1}^2$ and for the state:

$$|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{L,i}}} \sum_{\sum n_j \bmod 3 = i} |\{n_j\}\rangle, \quad i = 0, 1, 2. \tag{S5}$$

Let us consider for example $|g_{0,\phi=0}\rangle$ (the discussion for the other states is analogous). After the action of $\hat{\ell}_j$, the new state is the linear superposition of some (not all!) configurations with number of fermions $N \mod 3 = 1$. If we focus on the sites j and $j+1$, the state $\hat{\ell}_j |g_{0,\phi=0}\rangle$ can be expanded in Fock states $|\{n_j\}\rangle$ which at those sites can have configurations with:

$$|\cdots \star\star \cdots\rangle \quad |\cdots \star\bullet \cdots\rangle \quad |\cdots \bullet\star \cdots\rangle \quad |\cdots \star\circ \cdots\rangle \quad |\cdots \bullet\bullet \cdots\rangle \quad |\cdots \circ\star \cdots\rangle \quad |\cdots \bullet\circ \cdots\rangle \quad |\cdots \circ\bullet \cdots\rangle \quad |\cdots \circ\circ \cdots\rangle; \tag{S6}$$

where $\star$ means "double-occupied site" $\bullet$ means "single-occupied site" and $\circ$ means "empty site".

We now consider a particular configuration $|\{\bar{j}_n\}\rangle$ which belongs to the class $|\cdots \star\star \cdots\rangle$ and demonstrate that $\hat{\ell}_j |g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle$ has no overlap with such Fock state. The configuration $|\{\bar{j}_n\}\rangle$ may appear in $\hat{\ell}_j |g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle$ as the result of the action of $\hat{C}_j^\dagger$ or $\hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger$ on related configurations. If j is even (in this and in the following examples we do not write explicitly phases which can be factored out):

$$+\omega^{-\hat{N}_j}\hat{C}_j^\dagger |\cdots \bullet\star \cdots\rangle = +\omega\, |\cdots \star\star \cdots\rangle; \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger |\cdots \star\bullet \cdots\rangle = -\omega |\cdots \star\star \cdots\rangle. \tag{S7}$$

The action of the two operators interferes destructively and thus no Fock state with two neighboring fermions at sites j and $j+1$ can appear. Similar reasoning apply for the other states, as we list here below:

$$+\omega^{-\hat{N}_j}\hat{C}_j^\dagger|\cdots \bullet\bullet \cdots\rangle = +\omega|\cdots \star\bullet \cdots\rangle, \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger|\cdots \star\circ \cdots\rangle = -\omega|\cdots \star\bullet \cdots\rangle; \tag{S8a}$$

$$+\omega^{-\hat{N}_j}\hat{C}_j^\dagger|\cdots \circ\bullet \cdots\rangle = +\omega^*|\cdots \bullet\star \cdots\rangle, \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger|\cdots \bullet\bullet \cdots\rangle = -\omega^*|\cdots \bullet\star \cdots\rangle; \tag{S8b}$$

$$+\omega^{-\hat{N}_j}\hat{C}_j^\dagger|\cdots \bullet\circ \cdots\rangle = +\omega|\cdots \star\circ \cdots\rangle, \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^2|\cdots \star\star \cdots\rangle = -\omega|\cdots \star\circ \cdots\rangle; \tag{S8c}$$

$$+\omega^{-\hat{N}_j}\hat{C}_j^\dagger|\cdots \circ\bullet \cdots\rangle = +\omega^*|\cdots \bullet\bullet \cdots\rangle, \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger|\cdots \bullet\circ \cdots\rangle = -\omega^*|\cdots \bullet\bullet \cdots\rangle; \tag{S8d}$$

$$+\hat{C}_j^2|\cdots \star\star \cdots\rangle = +|\cdots \circ\star \cdots\rangle, \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger|\cdots \circ\bullet \cdots\rangle = -|\cdots \circ\star \cdots\rangle; \tag{S8e}$$

$$+\omega^{-\hat{N}_j}\hat{C}_j^\dagger|\cdots \circ\circ \cdots\rangle = +\omega^*|\cdots \bullet\circ \cdots\rangle, \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^2|\cdots \bullet\star \cdots\rangle = -\omega^*|\cdots \bullet\circ \cdots\rangle; \tag{S8f}$$

$$+\hat{C}_j^2|\cdots \star\bullet \cdots\rangle = +|\cdots \circ\bullet \cdots\rangle, \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^\dagger|\cdots \circ\circ \cdots\rangle = -|\cdots \circ\bullet \cdots\rangle; \tag{S8g}$$

$$+\hat{C}_j^2|\cdots \star\circ \cdots\rangle = +|\cdots \circ\circ \cdots\rangle, \qquad -\hat{C}_{j+1}^2|\cdots \circ\star \cdots\rangle = -|\cdots \circ\circ \cdots\rangle. \tag{S8h}$$

This demonstrates the property $\hat{\ell}_j|g_{0,\phi=0}\rangle = 0$. The reader can easily convince himself that the same property would hold for $|g_{1,\phi=0}\rangle$ and $|g_{2,\phi=0}\rangle$.

The excited eigenstates of the model can be obtained by applying the $\left(\hat{\ell}_j^\dagger\right)^m$ $(m=1,2)$ operators to the ground state, which introduce an energy excitation equal to $2J\left[1-\Re(\omega^m)\right]$. From this follows that the gap of the model is $3J$. Generally speaking, the excited eigenstates and eigenvalues of the problem are given by,

$$\hat{H}\left(\hat{\ell}_1^{\dagger m_1}...\hat{\ell}_L^{\dagger m_L}|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle\right) = E_{m_1...m_L}\left(\hat{\ell}_1^{\dagger m_1}...\hat{\ell}_L^{\dagger m_L}|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle\right); \qquad E_{m_1...m_L} = \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} 2J\left[1-\Re(\omega^{m_j})\right]. \tag{S9}$$

Note, however, that these eigenstates are not normalized.

The demonstration of the previous relation is given by recursively using the ground state identity,

$$\hat{\ell}_j|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = 0 \implies \hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger|g_{0,\phi=0}\rangle = \omega\,\hat{\gamma}_{2j+1}^\dagger|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle, \tag{S10}$$

or in its more general form

$$(\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger)^m|g_{0,\phi=0}\rangle = \alpha_m\,(\hat{\gamma}_{2j+1}^\dagger)^m|g_{0,\phi=0}\rangle, \qquad \alpha_m = \omega^{m^2-\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} j}. \tag{S11}$$

Let us consider, for simplicity, an eigenstate with a single excitation $\left(\hat{\ell}_j^\dagger\right)^m|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle$, which by using Eq. (S11), could also be written in the following form,

$$\left(\hat{\ell}_j^\dagger\right)^m|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = (\hat{\gamma}_{2j} - w^*\hat{\gamma}_{2j+1})^m|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = \vartheta_m\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^m|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle, \tag{S12}$$

with ϑ_m a phase (irrelevant for our purposes) arising from Eq. (S11) and the commutation relations of the parafermions. Since $\left[\hat{\ell}_j^\dagger\hat{\ell}_j, \hat{\ell}_{k\neq j}^{\dagger m}\right] = 0$, we must only consider the Hamiltonian term at the site j, namely:

$$\left(\hat{\ell}_j^\dagger\hat{\ell}_j\right)\left(\hat{\ell}_j^\dagger\right)^m|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = \left(2\mathbb{I} - w^*\hat{\gamma}_{2j+1}\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^\dagger - w\hat{\gamma}_{2j}\hat{\gamma}_{2j+1}^\dagger\right)\vartheta_m\hat{\gamma}_{2j}^m|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle. \tag{S13}$$

By using again Eq. (S11), we obtain $\left(\hat{\ell}_j^\dagger\hat{\ell}_j\right)\hat{\ell}_j^{\dagger m}|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle = 2J\left[1-Re(w^m)\right]\hat{\ell}_j^{\dagger m}|g_{i,\phi=0}\rangle$, which concludes the demonstration.

ANALYTICAL PROPERTIES OF THE GROUND STATE WAVEFUNCTIONS

The wavefunction

We evaluate the properties of the ground state $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$ in Eq. (7) for generic value of ϕ, which we rewrite here for reading convenience:

$$|g_{i,\phi}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i}}}\sum_{\sum n_j \bmod 3=i} e^{-\phi N/3}|\{n_j\}\rangle; \qquad i=0,1,2. \tag{S14}$$

The normalization coefficient is given by the following expression

$$\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i} = \sum_{\sum n_j \mathrm{mod} 3 = i} e^{-2\phi N/3} \sum_{n_1+2n_2=N} \binom{L}{n_1, n_2, L-n_1-n_2}. \tag{S15}$$

The multinomial coefficient is defined as:

$$\binom{L}{n_1, n_2, L-n_1-n_2} = \frac{L!}{n_1! n_2! (L-n_1-n_2)!} \tag{S16}$$

and counts the number of ways in which N Fock-parafermions can be distributed among the L sites; the counting considers that there are n_1 sites occupied by one parafermion and n_2 sites occupied by two parafermions, and $N = n_1 + 2n_2$.

The expression (S15) can be simplified using the following formulas:

$$\left(1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}\right)^L = \sum_{n_1,n_2} \binom{L}{n_1, n_2, L-n_1-n_2} \left(e^{-2\phi/3}\right)^{n_1+2n_2}; \tag{S17a}$$

$$\left(1 + \omega e^{-2\phi/3} + \omega^2 e^{-4\phi/3}\right)^L = \sum_{n_1,n_2} \binom{L}{n_1, n_2, L-n_1-n_2} \left(\omega\, e^{-2\phi/3}\right)^{n_1+2n_2}; \tag{S17b}$$

$$\left(1 + \omega^2 e^{-2\phi/3} + \omega^4 e^{-4\phi/3}\right)^L = \sum_{n_1,n_2} \binom{L}{n_1, n_2, L-n_1-n_2} \left(\omega^2 e^{-2\phi/3}\right)^{n_1+2n_2}; \tag{S17c}$$

$$1 + \omega^{n_1+2n_2} + (\omega^2)^{n_1+2n_2} = 3\,\delta_{(n_1+2n_2)\mathrm{mod}3=0}. \tag{S17d}$$

We obtain the following result:

$$\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,0} = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{2} A(L,\phi,k), \qquad \mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,1} = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{2} \omega^{-k} A(L,\phi,k), \qquad \mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,2} = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{2} \omega^{-2k} A(L,\phi,k), \tag{S18}$$

where we have introduced the notation $A(L,\phi,k) = \left(1 + \omega^k e^{-2\phi/3} + \omega^{2k} e^{-4\phi/3}\right)^L$. At $\phi = 0$, these expressions reduce to $\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,0} = \mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,1} = \mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,1} = 3^{L-1}$.

Expectation value of a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-preserving observable

Using this explicit form of the ground state wavefunction, we can compute the average density on a given site j. The number operator for site j is given by $\hat{N}_j = C_j^\dagger C_j + C_j^\dagger C_j^\dagger C_j C_j$. As an example of how the calculation works, let us compute the probability $p_{0,\phi}(1_j)$ for the state $|g_{0,\phi}\rangle$ that there is one and only one parafermion at site j:

$$p_{0,\phi}(1_j) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,0}} \sum_{\sum n_j \mathrm{mod} 3 = 2} \sum_{n_1+2n_2=N-1} \binom{L-1}{n_1, n_2, L-1-n_1-n_2} e^{-2\phi N/3} = \frac{e^{-2\phi/3}}{3\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,0}} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \omega^{-2k} A(L-1,\phi,k). \tag{S19}$$

The calculation of $\langle \hat{N}_j \rangle$ follows these lines and we obtain

$$\langle g_{0,\phi}|\hat{N}_j|g_{0,\phi}\rangle = \frac{e^{-2\phi/3}\sum_{k=0}^{2}\omega^{-2k}A(L-1,\phi,k) + 2e^{-4\phi/3}\sum_{k=0}^{2}\omega^{-k}A(L-1,\phi,k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2} A(L,\phi,k)} \tag{S20}$$

$$\langle g_{1,\phi}|\hat{N}_j|g_{1,\phi}\rangle = \frac{e^{-2\phi/3}\sum_{k=0}^{2}A(L-1,\phi,k) + 2e^{-4\phi/3}\sum_{k=0}^{2}\omega^{-2k}A(L-1,\phi,k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2} \omega^{-k} A(L,\phi,k)} \tag{S21}$$

$$\langle g_{2,\phi}|\hat{N}_j|g_{2,\phi}\rangle = \frac{e^{-2\phi/3}\sum_{k=0}^{2}\omega^{-k}A(L-1,\phi,k) + 2e^{-4\phi/3}\sum_{k=0}^{2}A(L-1,\phi,k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2} \omega^{-2k} A(L,\phi,k)} \tag{S22}$$

For large system size, $L \gg 1$, the mean densities are all equal

$$\langle g_{i,\phi}|\hat{N}_j|g_{i,\phi}\rangle \simeq \frac{e^{-2\phi/3} + 2e^{-4\phi/3}}{1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}}, \tag{S23}$$

corresponding to $\langle \hat{N}_j \rangle = 1$ for $\phi = 0$. Noting that $A(L,\phi,1) = A^*(L,\phi,2)$ ($A(L,\phi,0)$ is real), the large L asymptotics can be obtained from

$$\left| \frac{A(L,\phi,1)}{A(L,\phi,0)} \right| = e^{-L/\xi} \tag{S24}$$

with the length

$$\xi^{-1} = \ln \left| \frac{1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}}{1 + \omega e^{-2\phi/3} + \omega^* e^{-4\phi/3}} \right|. \tag{S25}$$

The first order correction to the mean densities then takes the form

$$\langle g_{i,\phi} | \hat{N}_j | g_{i,\phi} \rangle = \frac{e^{-2\phi/3} + 2e^{-4\phi/3}}{1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}} + \frac{e^{-2\phi/3}}{1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}} \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-L/\xi}\right) + \frac{e^{-4\phi/3}}{1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}} \mathcal{O}\left(e^{-L/\xi}\right). \tag{S26}$$

The infinite length result is thus approached with exponential accuracy as expected for a topologically protected manifold. Interestingly, the characteristic length ξ diverges for $\phi \to \pm\infty$ corresponding to a loss of topological protection.

Correlation function

Let us now use the knowledge on the ground state wavefunction to compute correlation functions. As we shall see, they involve the same correlation length ξ. To be specific, we concentrate on the following function

$$G_0(\ell) = \langle g_{0,\phi} | \hat{C}_1^{\dagger\, 2} \hat{C}_\ell^2 | g_{0,\phi} \rangle \tag{S27}$$

measuring the two-particle correlation between site 1 (left boundary) and ℓ. $\hat{C}_j$ denotes a Fock-parafermion operator at site $1 \leq j \leq L$. To be non-vanishing, the part of $|g_{0,\phi}\rangle$ onto which $\hat{C}_\ell^2$ acts must contain two particles at site ℓ whereas the bra $\langle g_{0,\phi}|$ must contain two zero particles at site 1. Taking into account the commutator,

$$\hat{C}_\ell^2 \hat{C}_j^\dagger = \omega^2 \hat{C}_j^\dagger \hat{C}_\ell^2 = \omega^* \hat{C}_j^\dagger \hat{C}_\ell^2 \qquad \text{for} \quad \ell > j, \tag{S28}$$

containing information on particle statistics, we obtain

$$G_0(\ell) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_0} \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2=N_1 \\ n_3+2n_4=N_2 \\ N_1+N_2+2=0[3]}} (\omega^*)^{N_1} \binom{\ell-2}{n_1, n_2, \ell-2-n_1-n_2} \binom{L-\ell}{n_3, n_4, L-\ell-n_3-n_4} e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}(N_1+N_2+2)}. \tag{S29}$$

In this expression, N_1 is the number of particles enclosed between site 1 and site ℓ, and N_2 the number of particles beyond site ℓ. The total number of particles is therefore N_1+N_2+2. The $(\omega^*)^{N_1}$ term is the statistical phase steming from the commutation of $\hat{C}_\ell^2$ with N_1 particles. Instead of enforcing the constraint $N_1 + N_2 + 2 \equiv 0 \mod 3$, we use the same trick as above, with the summation

$$\frac{1}{3}\left(1 + \omega^N + (\omega^2)^N\right) = \delta_{N(\mathrm{mod}3),0}\ , \tag{S30}$$

to express the correlation function as a sum over a new index k,

$$G_0(\ell) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_0} \sum_{k=0}^{2} \sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2=N_1 \\ n_3+2n_4=N_2}} \omega^{k(N_1+N_2+2)} (\omega^*)^{N_1} \binom{\ell-2}{n_1, n_2, \ell-2-n_1-n_2} \binom{L-\ell}{n_3, n_4, L-\ell-n_3-n_4} e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}(N_1+N_2+2)}. \tag{S31}$$

With this new formulation, the summations over N_1 and N_2 are decoupled and can be performed exactly using the binomial formulas (S17). The result takes the form

$$G_0(\ell) = e^{-4\phi/3} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2} \omega^{2k} A(\ell-2,\phi,k-1) A(L-\ell,\phi,k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{2} A(L,\phi,k)} \tag{S32}$$

with again the notation $A(L,\phi,k) = \left(1 + w^k e^{-2\phi/3} + w^{2k} e^{-4\phi/3}\right)^L$.

Let us take a few examples.

1. Short range $\ell = 1$ in the large length limit $L \gg 1$.

$$G_0(1) = \langle g_0|\hat{C}_1^\dagger \hat{C}_1^\dagger \hat{C}_1 \hat{C}_1|g_0\rangle = \frac{e^{-4\phi/3}}{1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}} \to \frac{1}{3} \qquad \text{for} \quad \phi \to 0 \tag{S33}$$

It measures the probability to find two particles at site 1 in the ground state. For $\phi = 0$, the three occupations zero, one and two are equiprobable.

2. Next neighbour $\ell = 2$ in the large length limit $L \gg 1$.

$$G_0(2) = \langle g_0|\hat{C}_1^\dagger \hat{C}_1^\dagger \hat{C}_2 \hat{C}_2|g_0\rangle = \frac{e^{-4\phi/3}}{\left(1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}\right)^2} \to \frac{1}{9} \qquad \text{for} \quad \phi \to 0 \tag{S34}$$

$G_0(2)$ gives the probability to find zero particle at site 1 and two particles at site 2 (or the opposite), so 1/9 when all occupations are equiprobable.

3. End site $\ell = L$ in the large length limit $L \gg 1$. The result is

$$G_0(L) = \langle g_0|\hat{C}_1^\dagger \hat{C}_1^\dagger \hat{C}_L \hat{C}_L|g_0\rangle = \frac{\omega^2\, e^{-4\phi/3}}{\left(1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}\right)^2} = \omega^2\, G_0(2) \tag{S35}$$

The correlation over the whole system is finite (a signature of non-locality related to topology), and equal to $G_0(2)$ up to a phase shift ω^2. This phase shift depends in fact on the parity of the ground state and one finds $G_1(L)/G_1(2) = \omega$ and $G_2(L)/G_2(2) = 1$.

4. Asymptotic decay. We first consider the limit $L \to \infty$ and then take the limit $\ell \gg 1$ to avoid short-range details. One finds

$$|G_0(\ell)| = \frac{e^{-4\phi/3}\, e^{2/\xi}}{\left(1 + e^{-2\phi/3} + e^{-4\phi/3}\right)^2}\, e^{-\ell/\xi} \sim e^{-\ell/\xi} \qquad \text{for} \quad \ell \gg 1, \tag{S36}$$

i.e. an exponential decay with the correlation length ξ. This result does not depend on the parity and applies also when the correlation function is averaged over $G_1(\ell)$ and $G_2(\ell)$. A difference occurs in the phase of but not in the modulus.

Expectation value of a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-breaking observable

Let us now compute the expectation value of a $\mathbb{Z}_3$-breaking observable. To be specific, we concentrate on the following function,

$$F_{i,i'}(\ell) = \langle g_{i,\phi}|\hat{C}_\ell^\dagger|g_{i',\phi}\rangle \tag{S37}$$

and take $i' \equiv i - 1 (\text{mod} 3)$. In order to be different from zero, the Fock states upon which $|g_{i',\phi}\rangle$ is expanded which are relevant for the calculation must have zero (one) particle at site ℓ, whereas for $\langle g_{i,\phi}|$ they must contain one (two) particle at the same site ℓ. Taking into account the commutator,

$$\hat{C}_\ell^\dagger \hat{C}_j^\dagger = \omega^* \hat{C}_j^\dagger \hat{C}_\ell^\dagger \quad \text{for } \ell > j, \tag{S38}$$

we obtain

$$F_{i,i'}(\ell) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i}\,\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i'}}} \sum_{\substack{n_\ell = 0,1 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 = N_1 \\ n_3 + 2n_4 = N_2 \\ N = N_1 + N_2 + n_\ell \equiv i - 1\,(\text{mod}3)}} (\omega^*)^{N_1} \binom{\ell - 1}{n_1, n_2, \ell - 1 - n_1 - n_2} \binom{L - \ell}{n_3, n_4, L - \ell - n_3 - n_4} e^{-\frac{\phi(2N+1)}{3}}.$$

In this expression, n_ℓ is the number of particles at the site ℓ, N_1 is the number of particles enclosed between site 1 and $\ell - 1$, and N_2 the number of particles beyond site ℓ. The total number of particles in $|g_{i',\phi}\rangle$ is therefore $N = N_1 + N_2 + n_\ell$, whereas in $\langle g_{i,\phi}|$ must have $N + 1$ particles, which yields the $e^{-\frac{\phi(2N+1)}{3}}$ term. The $(\omega^*)^{N_1}$ term

is the statistical phase stemming from the commutation of $C_\ell^\dagger$ with N_1 particles. Instead of enforcing the constraint $N_1+N_2+n_\ell \equiv i-1(\text{mod}3)$, we use again the previous trick:

$$\delta_{N_1+N_2+n_\ell,i-1\,(\text{mod}3)} = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{k=0}^{2}\omega^{k(N_1+N_2+n_\ell-(i-1))} \tag{S39}$$

in order to express the function as a sum over a new index k:

$$F_{i,i'}(\ell) = \frac{e^{-\frac{\phi}{3}}}{3\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i}\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i'}}}\sum_{n_\ell=0,1}\sum_{k=0}^{2}\sum_{\substack{n_1+2n_2=N_1\\ n_3+2n_4=N_2}}\binom{\ell-1}{n_1,n_2,\ell-1-n_1-n_2}\binom{L-\ell}{n_3,n_4,L-\ell-n_3-n_4}\times$$

$$\times\omega^{k(N_1+N_2+n_\ell-(i-1))}(\omega^*)^{N_1}e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}(N_1+N_2+n_\ell)}. \tag{S40}$$

With this new formulation, the summation over N_1 and N_2 are decoupled and can be performed exactly using the binomial formulas. The result takes the form

$$F_{i,i'}(\ell) = \frac{\sum_{n_\ell=0,1}e^{-\frac{\phi}{3}(1+2n_\ell)}\sum_{k=0}^{2}\omega^{k(n_\ell-(p-1))}A(\ell-1,\phi,k-1)A(L-\ell,\phi,k)}{\sqrt{\sum_{k,k'=0}^{2}A(L,\phi,k)A(L,\phi,k')\omega^{ik+(i-1)k'}}} \tag{S41}$$

Let us analyze now its asymptotic decay. We first define the phase $\theta_{L,\phi}$ between the terms $A(L,\phi,k)$, in the large asymptotic L, as follows,

$$\frac{A(L,\phi,1)}{A(L,\phi,0)} = e^{-\frac{L}{\xi}}e^{i\theta_{L,\phi}}, \qquad \frac{A(L,\phi,2)}{A(L,\phi,0)} = \left(\frac{A(L,\phi,1)}{A(L,\phi,0)}\right)^* \tag{S42}$$

Two different limits are particularly important:

1. $L\to\infty$, considering $\ell \gg \xi$, which allows us to analyze the "left-side" of the wire, where one finds,

$$F_{i,i'}(\ell) = (e^{\frac{\phi}{3}}+e^{-\frac{\phi}{3}})\left[\frac{e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}}e^{-i\theta_{\ell-1,\phi}}}{(1+e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}}+e^{-\frac{4\phi}{3}})}\right]e^{-\frac{(\ell-1)}{\xi}} \sim e^{-\frac{\ell}{\xi}}. \tag{S43}$$

2. $L,\ell\to\infty$, keeping $\ell/L = cte < 1-\xi$, which allows us to analyze the "right-side" of the wire, where we find similar results,

$$F_{i,i'}(\ell) = w^{*^p}(we^{\frac{\phi}{3}}+e^{-\frac{\phi}{3}}w^*)\left[\frac{e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}}e^{-i\theta_{\ell-1,\phi}}}{(1+e^{-\frac{2\phi}{3}}+e^{-\frac{4\phi}{3}})}\right]^* e^{-\frac{(L-\ell)}{\xi}} \sim e^{-\frac{(L-\ell)}{\xi}}. \tag{S44}$$

Entanglement spectrum

In order to compute the entanglement spectrum of the ground states $|g_{i,\phi}\rangle$, we exploit the following property:

$$|g_{i,\phi}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i}}}\sum_{p=0}^{2}\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{\ell,\phi,i}}\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{L-\ell,\phi,i}}|g_{\ell,\phi,p}\rangle|g_{L-\ell,\phi,(i-p)\text{mod}3}\rangle; \quad i=0,1,2. \tag{S45}$$

Thus, computing the entanglement spectrum for a bipartition $\ell, L-\ell$, requires the evaluation of the three coefficients:

$$\frac{\mathcal{N}_{\ell,\phi,i}\mathcal{N}_{L-\ell,\phi,i}}{\mathcal{N}_{L,\phi,i}}. \tag{S46}$$

Let us consider the case in which $\ell, L-\ell \gg \xi$. According to the expressions in Eqs. (S18) and (S24), we can simplify:

$$\mathcal{N}_{m,\phi,i} = \frac{1}{3}A(m,\phi,0)+\mathcal{O}(e^{-m/\xi}); \quad m=L,\ell,L-\ell; \tag{S47}$$

where only the term scaling as $e^{-m/\xi}$ depends on i. At the leading order the coefficients in (S46) do not depend on i and are equal to 1/3. With this, we automatically obtain that the states $|g_{L,\phi,i}\rangle$ satisfy an area-law.

Weak edge mode

In the simple case $f = b = 0$ (or $\phi = 0$), the parafermionic chain has strong edge modes resulting in a global threefold degeneracy in the spectrum. The edge modes are given by the two parafermionic operators $\hat{\gamma}_1$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{2L}$ localized at both ends of the chain. In the general case $\phi \neq 0$, the edge modes are obtained by quasi-adiabatic continuation [2],

$$\hat{\chi}_1 = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi \hat{\gamma}_1 \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi^\dagger, \qquad \hat{\chi}_2 = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi \hat{\gamma}_{2L} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi^\dagger, \tag{S48}$$

with the unitary transformation $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi$ mapping the low-energy subspaces at $\phi \neq 0$ and $\phi = 0$. The operator $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi$ is unitary and thus preserves the parafermionic properties $\hat{\chi}_1^3 = 1$ and $\hat{\chi}_1^2 = \hat{\chi}_1^\dagger$, it also preserves locality such that $\hat{\chi}_1$ and $\hat{\chi}_2$ are still localized near the two ends of the chain. $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_\phi$ furthermore commutes with the parity operator, hence interpolating between ground states of constant parity.

For $\phi \ll 1$, corresponding to $b \ll 1$ and $f \ll J$, the deformation of the edge mode can be calculated perturbatively. For the left mode, it takes the form

$$\hat{\chi}_1 = \hat{\gamma}_1 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt F(3t) e^{i\hat{H}_0^0 t} [\hat{V}, \hat{\gamma}_1] e^{-i\hat{H}_0^0 t}, \tag{S49}$$

where we introduced the reduced Hamiltonian decomposition $\hat{H}_0^0 = \hat{H}_0(f=0)/J$ and $\hat{V} = (\hat{H}_0 + b\hat{H}_1)/J - \hat{H}_0^0$, such that $\hat{V} = 0$ at $\phi = 0$. Incidentally, we have used the commutation $[\hat{H}_0^0, \hat{\gamma}_1] = 0$ in deriving Eq. (S49). The filter function $F(t)$ is constraint by its Fourier transform for $|\Omega| > 1$,

$$\tilde{F}(\Omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t e^{i\Omega t} F(t) = -\frac{1}{\Omega}. \tag{S50}$$

The form of $\tilde{F}(\Omega)$ for $|\Omega| < 1$ is not constraint (except that $F(t)$ must decay fast at large t to preserve locality), but we will not need it to leading order in ϕ.

Computing the commutator

$$[\hat{V}, \hat{\gamma}_1] = (\omega^* - \omega) \left[\frac{f}{J} (\hat{\gamma}_2 - \omega \hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger) + b\,\omega^* (\hat{\gamma}_3 - \hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger) + b\,(\omega \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger - \hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_3 \hat{\gamma}_2) \right], \tag{S51}$$

we find the expansion

$$\hat{\chi}_1 = \hat{\gamma}_1 + (\omega^* - \omega) \left[\frac{f}{J} (\hat{X}_2 + \omega \gamma_1^\dagger \hat{X}_2^\dagger) + b\,\omega^* (\hat{X}_3 + \hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger \hat{X}_3^\dagger) + b\,(\omega \hat{X}_{23} + \hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger \hat{X}_{23}^\dagger) \right], \tag{S52}$$

where we have defined

$$\hat{X}_j = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t F(3t) e^{i\hat{H}_0^0 t} \hat{\gamma}_j e^{-i\hat{H}_0^0 t}, \qquad \hat{X}_j^\dagger = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t F(3t) e^{i\hat{H}_0^0 t} \hat{\gamma}_j^\dagger e^{-i\hat{H}_0^0 t}, \tag{S53a}$$

$$\hat{X}_{23} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}t F(3t) e^{i\hat{H}_0^0 t} \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger e^{-i\hat{H}_0^0 t}, \tag{S53b}$$

and used again that γ_1 commutes with H_0^0 and that $F(t)$ is an imaginary function.

As discussed in Ref. [2], the time evolution of these operators can be determined from the closed algebra under commutation by $\hat{H}_0^0$, namely

$$[\hat{H}_0^0, A_0 \hat{\gamma}_2 + B_0 \omega \hat{\gamma}_3 + C_0 \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger] = A_1 \hat{\gamma}_2 + B_1 \omega \hat{\gamma}_3 + C_1 \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger \tag{S54}$$

where the coefficients are related by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ B_1 \\ C_1 \end{pmatrix} = 3\mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ B_0 \\ C_0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{M} = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{S55}$$

The operators $\hat{v}_j = (\hat{\gamma}_2 + \omega^j\, \omega\, \hat{\gamma}_3 + \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger \hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger)/\sqrt{3}$, related to the eigenstates of the matrix $\mathcal{M}$, follow a free evolution under $\hat{H}_0^0$ written as $e^{i\hat{H}_0^0 t} \hat{v}_j e^{-i\hat{H}_0^0 t} = \hat{v}_j e^{3i\varepsilon_i t}$, with the eigenvalues $\varepsilon_0 = 0$, $\varepsilon_{1/2} = \mp 1$.

Applying the Fourier transform and the result $\tilde{F}(0) = 0$ ($\tilde{F}$ is an odd function), we are finally led to

$$\hat{X}_2 = \frac{1}{3(\omega^* - \omega)}(\omega\hat{\gamma}_3 - \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger\hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger), \qquad \hat{X}_3 = \frac{\omega^*}{3(\omega^* - \omega)}(\hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger\hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger - \hat{\gamma}_2), \qquad \hat{X}_{23} = \frac{1}{3(\omega^* - \omega)}(\hat{\gamma}_2 - \omega\hat{\gamma}_3), \tag{S56}$$

and the weak edge mode takes the form

$$\hat{\chi}_1 = \hat{\gamma}_1 + \alpha(\omega\hat{\gamma}_3 - \hat{\gamma}_2^\dagger\hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger) + \alpha^*(\omega\hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger\hat{\gamma}_3\hat{\gamma}_2 - \hat{\gamma}_1^\dagger\hat{\gamma}_3^\dagger) \tag{S57}$$

to leading order in f/J and b. We have introduced the complex number $\alpha = f/J - \omega b$. From this result, it can be verified explicitly that $\hat{\chi}_1^2 = \hat{\chi}_1^\dagger$ and $\hat{\chi}_1^3 = 1$ to first order in α. For $b = 0$ but $f \neq 0$, the result (S57) is similar to Ref. [2].

[1] E. Cobanera and G. Ortiz, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 012328 (2014).
[2] A. Alexandradinata, N. Regnault, C. Fang, M. J. Gilbert, B. A. Bernevig, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 125103 (2016).